

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/912,070	07/24/2001	Motoyuki Fujimori	U 013566-9	3280	
	7590 04/23/2003				
Ladas & Parry			EXAMINER		
26 West 61 Street New York, NY 10023			SEVER, AN	SEVER, ANDREW T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2851		
		DATE MAIL ED: 04/22/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/912,070 FUJIMORI, MOTOYUKI Notice of Abandonment Examin r Art Unit Andrew T Sever 2851 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--This application is abandoned in view of: 1. Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on (a) A reply was received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _____), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of _____ month(s)) which expired on ____ (b) A proposed reply was received on _____, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the nonfinal rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). (d) No reply has been received. 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) The submitted fee of \$____ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$_____. The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$_____. (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _____), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) No corrected drawings have been received. 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on _____ and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below: See Continuation Sheet Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

Continuation Sheet (PTO-1432)

Application No. 09/912,070

Item 7 - Other reasons for holding abandonment: Paragraph 1 of The final rejection mailed on 12/5/2002 states in bold print "A proper drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application." The reply received on April 9, 2003 did not contain proper drawing correction. Applicant in the arguments cited the previously approved proposed drawing corrections. Proposed drawing corrections are not "proper drawing corrections". Since applicant has not complied with paragraph one of the final rejection, this case is now abandoned. See MPEP 608.02.

RUSSELL ADAMS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER